ABSTRACT

Query reformulation techniques based on query logs have recently proven to be effective for web queries. However, when initial queries have reasonably good quality, these techniques are often not reliable enough to identify the helpful reformulations among the suggested queries. In this paper, we show that we can use as few as two features to rerank a list of reformulated queries, or expanded queries to be specific, generated by a log-based query reformulation technique. Our results across five TREC collections suggest that there are consistently more useful reformulations in the first positions in the new ranked list than there were initially, which leads to statistically significant improvements in retrieval effectiveness.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Query logs have become an important resource for many tasks including query reformulation [3, 6]. Most log-based reformulation techniques, however, are evaluated using non-standard approaches and proprietary query logs, making it hard to compare one to another. A more recent study [2] compares different techniques using TREC collections and finds that when initial queries have relatively high quality, query expansion is much more reliable than substitution.

Although the log-based expansion technique [2] can generate some good reformulations for high-quality TREC queries, it also produces many bad reformulations and it does not generate a reliable ranking of the reformulations by quality.

In this paper, we show that we can effectively rerank the list of reformulated queries obtained with this log-based expansion approach. By using as few as two features, SCQ (Similarity Collection Query) [8] and query clarity [1], we can substantially improve the ranking of reformulated queries in terms of the quality of the reformulations in the top two ranks (measured by NDCG@2), which then leads to significant improvements in retrieval effectiveness.

2. METHOD

2.1 Log-based Query Expansion

The log-based query expansion method [2] (referred to as LQE) is a slight modification of the query substitution method proposed by Wang and Zhai [6]. It first estimates a context distribution for terms occurring in a query log. It then constructs a translation model that can suggest similar words based on their distributional similarity. Given any query, the expansion model will try to expand it with candidates suggested by the translation model for each query term. The model decides whether to expand the query based on how similar the candidate is to the query term and how appropriate it is to the context of the query. For more details, see [2].

2.2 The Reranking Approach

Query quality predictors aim to predict a query’s quality without explicit relevance judgements. Thus, given a ranked list of reformulated queries, it is intuitive to think about reorganizing this list based on the “quality” score given by some predictor.

We tried some of the top-performing predictors that Kumanar and Carvalho [4] used in a similar task and found that SCQ [8] and clarity score [1] are the most effective for our problem. Therefore, we rerank the list of expanded queries by

\[ score(q) = \lambda_1 \times SCQ(q) + \lambda_2 \times clarity(q) \]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are weight of the two predictors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Statistics of queries used for reformulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title Q.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desc. Q.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. EVALUATION

3.1 Experiment Settings

In this section, we evaluate the performance of our reranking technique. Evaluation is done on five TREC collections: AP, WSJ, Robust-04, WT10G, and Gov-2, with both title and description queries. We use the language modeling framework and remove all stop words at indexing time. We adopt the parameter settings for LQE from the authors [2].

Due to the limited coverage of the available query log [5], we use only a subset of TREC queries where the LQE can generate at least one reformulation. Information about these subsets is given in Table 1.

On each collection, we first use LQE to generate a list of K expanded queries (K = 30) for each original query. We append to this list the original query - in the case when all generated reformulations are bad, the reranking approach has a chance to choose not to reformulate. We then use our approach to rerank this list and compare its performance with that of the initial list as well as original query.

3.2 Training Data

We run LQE with the MSN log to obtain a list of reformulations for each original query. We use all these queries to do retrieval and record their MAP and use them to create our dataset. Training and testing are done using 5-fold cross validation on this dataset. L1 and L2 are learned using AdaRank [7] to maximize the average NDCG@2. The algorithm ends up choosing either (L1 = 1, L2 = 0) or (L1 = 0, L2 = 1) depending on the collection.

3.3 Reranking Effectiveness

We use NDCG@2 to measure the quality of the ranked list of reformulations given by our approach. Reformulations are graded on a scale from zero to four with respect to the improvement they provide over the original query. In particular, improvement larger than 0.03 corresponds to a 4, or (m > 0.03) → 4. Similarly, (0.01 < m ≤ 0.03) → 3, (0 < m ≤ 0.01) → 2, (m = 0) → 1 and (m < 0) → 0.

Table 2 summarizes the result: the list of reformulations ranked by our approach has a much higher average NDCG@2 than the initial list. All improvements are statistically significant at p < 0.05 using a two-tailed t-test.

3.4 Retrieval Effectiveness

We define the MAP of a ranked list of reformulations as the best MAP observed among its top two queries. In this section, we compare the MAP obtained by (i) the original query, (ii) the list of reformulations generated by LQE, and (iii) the list reranked by our method.

As can be seen in Table 3, the best of the top two reformulated queries ranked by our approach is almost always significantly better than the original query. This is not the case in LQE. In many cases, our method also provides significant improvements over LQE. This result suggests that the reranking can push better reformulations to the first two positions in the ranked list.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have shown that by reranking the list of reformulations generated by the log-based query expansion technique [2] with only two features, we can push more good reformulations into the first two positions in the list. This is reflected in the huge gain of NDCG@2 and statistically significant improvement in retrieval effectiveness. In the future, we will investigate more features. We hope this will lead to greater improvement in NDCG@I, helping retrieval systems to reformulate queries implicitly without user involvement.
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